

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
BEAUMONT DIVISION

LARRY DONNELL GIBBS §  
VS. § CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:21cv484  
UNKNOWN JACKSON, ET AL. §

**MEMORANDUM ORDER OVERRULING OBJECTIONS AND ADOPTING  
THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

Plaintiff Larry Donnell Gibbs, an inmate currently at the Telford Unit of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, Correctional Institutions Division, proceeding *pro se*, brought the above-styled lawsuit.

The Court referred this matter to the Honorable Zack Hawthorn, United States Magistrate Judge, at Beaumont, Texas, for consideration pursuant to applicable laws and orders of this Court. The Magistrate Judge recommends denying plaintiff's motion for emergency injunction [Dkt. 65].

The Court has received and considered the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge filed pursuant to such referral, along with the record and pleadings. Plaintiff filed objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. This requires a *de novo* review of the objections in relation to the pleadings and the applicable law. *See* FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b).

After careful consideration, the Court concludes plaintiff's objections are without merit. As set forth in the Report, plaintiff's transfer to another unit rendered his claims for injunctive relief moot. *See Herman v. Holiday*, 238 F.3d 660, 665 (5th Cir. 2001); *Cooper v. Sheriff, Lubbock Cnty, Texas*, 929 F.2d 1078, 1084 (5th Cir. 1991). Further, plaintiff has not clearly carried his burden of establishing either that a substantial threat exists that irreparable harm will result if relief is not

granted or that the defendants violated his constitutional rights. *See Planned Parenthood of Hous. & Se. Tex. v. Sanchez*, 403 F.3d 324, 329 (5th Cir. 2005). Due to its extraordinary character, injunctive relief should not be granted unless the party seeking relief has clearly carried the burden of persuasion as to all four elements. *PCI Transp., Inc. v. Fort Worth & W. R.R. Co.*, 418 F.3d 535, 545 (5th Cir. 2005). Plaintiff has not carried his burden in this action.

O R D E R

Accordingly, plaintiff's objections are OVERRULED. The findings of fact and conclusions of law of the Magistrate Judge are correct and the report of the Magistrate Judge is ADOPTED. It is therefore

ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for emergency injunction [Dkt. 65] is DENIED.

SIGNED this 13th day of March, 2025.



---

Michael J. Truncal  
United States District Judge